APPENDIX 4

REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION BY A BOARD MEMBER

Requester: __Jessie Roberson_____

Brief description of Requested Action: I am requesting that the Board approve posting of the attached redacted Closed Meeting Transcript (04/08/2016)

Attachments (init) _____ihr__ (included clean version of any proposed document or modified document)

| Summarize any time sensitive considerations: None | 1 |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Requestor signature Ansis K - 8/18 | |
| Requestor signature | Click here to enter a date. |
| | 8110111 |
| Executive secretary | 8/18/01 jek here to enter a date. |

| | APRVD | DISAPRVD | ABSTAIN | NOT PARTICPATING | COMMENT | DATE |
|---------------------------|--------|----------|---------|---------------------|---------------|------|
| Joyce L. Connery | | | | | | |
| Jessie H. Roberson | | | | | | |
| Sean Sullivan | | | | | | |
| Daniel J. Santos | | | | | | |
| Bruce Hamilton | | | | | | |
| Final Disposition Summary | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Executive Secretary sign | nature | | | Click here to | enter a date. | |

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Vice Chairman Jessie H. Roberson, Requesting that the Board approve posting of the attached redacted Closed Meeting Transcript, April 8, 2016

Doc Control#2016-300-034

The Board, with Board Member(s) Joyce L. Connery, Jessie H. Roberson, Daniel J. Santos, Bruce Hamilton *approving*, Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *recusing*, have voted to approve the above document on August 22, 2016.

The votes were recorded as:

| | APRVD | DISAPRVD | ABSTAIN | NOT PARTICIPATING* | COMMENT | DATE |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|
| Joyce L. Connery | \boxtimes | | | | | 08/18/16 |
| Jessie H. Roberson | \boxtimes | | | | | 08/18/16 |
| Sean Sullivan | | \boxtimes | | | \boxtimes | 08/22/16 |
| Daniel J. Santos | \boxtimes | | | | | 08/19/16 |
| Bruce Hamilton | \boxtimes | | | | \boxtimes | 08/22/16 |

*Reason for Not Participating:

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Secretary to the Board Assistant Executi

Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets
- cc: Board Members OGC OGM Records Officer OTD

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Joyce L. Connery

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Vice Chairman, Jessie H. Roberson; Requesting that the Board approve posting of the attached redacted Closed Meeting Transcript, April 8, 2016

Doc Control#2016-300-034

Disapproved____ Approved **Recusal – Not Participating**

COMMENTS:

Below____ Attached_

None

Abstain

ce L. Connery Joy

Date

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Jessie H. Roberson

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Vice Chairman, Jessie H. Roberson; Requesting that the Board approve posting of the attached redacted Closed Meeting Transcript, April 8, 2016

Doc Control#2016-300-034

Approve Disapproved_____ Abstain Recusal - Not Participating **COMMENTS:** Below Attached None

Jessie H. Roberson

Date

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Sean Sullivan

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Vice Chairman, Jessie H. Roberson; Requesting that the Board approve posting of the attached redacted Closed Meeting Transcript, April 8, 2016

Doc Control#2016-300-034

| Approved | Disapproved X | Abstain |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Recusal – Not Participati | ng | |

COMMENTS: Below X Attached None

The attached transcript contains several redactions that are not permitted by law. I therefore disapprove. The transcript should be posted without redactions.

The applicable law, the Government in the Sunshine Act, provides, "The agency shall make promptly available to the public, in a place easily accessible to the public, the transcript ... except for such item or items of such discussion or testimony as the agency determines to contain information which may be withheld under subsection (c)."

Subsection (c) of the law lists permissible exemptions. Exemption 3 provides for withholding information specifically exempted by a statute other than the Government in the Sunshine Act. We have provided in 10 C.F.R. Part 1704.4 that information in a transcript may be withheld if it "involve(es) deliberations regarding recommendations which, under 42 U.S.C. 2286d(b) and (h)(3), may not be made publicly available until after they have been received by the Secretary of Energy or the President, respectively."

The information that has been redacted from the attached transcripts does not involve 'deliberations regarding recommendations.' The information is so general – it is not specific to any particular safety issue, nor does it suggest any particular recommendation – that to consider it as qualifying under Exemption 3 is akin to creating a loophole so large as to swallow the Sunshine Act. In other words, if the herein redacted material qualifies for withholding, then we can close any meeting, discuss any topic, and withhold any information that we please. I do not support such an approach. Accordingly, I disapprove.

Plazlib

Shelby Qualls

| From: | Daniel J. Santos |
|----------|--|
| Sent: | Friday, August 19, 2016 11:08 AM |
| To: | Lotus Smith; Shelby Qualls |
| Subject: | RE: Notational Vote: Doc#2016-300-034 Request for Board Action by Vice Chairman, |
| | Jessie H. Roberson; Requesting that the Board approve posting of the attached redacted Closed Meeting Transcript, April 8, 2016 |

Approved without comments.

| From: Lotus Smith | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2016 11:51 | AM | |
| fo: Bruce Hamilton | ; Daniel J. Santos < | ; Jessie Roberson |
| ; Joyce Connery | Sean Sullivan | |
| Cc: ExSec < | | |

Subject: Notational Vote: Doc#2016-300-034 Request for Board Action by Vice Chairman, Jessie H. Roberson; Requesting that the Board approve posting of the attached redacted Closed Meeting Transcript, April 8, 2016

This email is an electronic record of Notational Vote. Voting ballot will follow shortly. Also, accepting electronic votes.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Members of the Board

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Vice Chairman, Jessie H. Roberson; Requesting that the Board approve posting of the attached redacted Closed Meeting Transcript, April 8, 2016

DOC# 2016-300-034

Approved_____ Disapproved_____ Abstain_____ Recusal – Not Participating_____

COMMENTS: Below____ Attached____ None____

Lotus Smith Executive Secretary Office of the Chairman Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board 625 Indiana Ave, NW, STE 700 Washington, DC 20004

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Bruce Hamilton

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Vice Chairman, Jessie H. Roberson; Requesting that the Board approve posting of the attached redacted Closed Meeting Transcript, April 8, 2016

Doc Control#2016-300-034

| Approved_X Disapproved Abstain | Approved_ | _X | Disapproved | Abstain |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----|-------------|---------|
|--------------------------------|-----------|----|-------------|---------|

Recusal – Not Participating

COMMENTS: Below X Attached None

The fact that I approve of posting this redacted Closed Meeting Transcript does not mean I support the redactions themselves. Indeed, I hold the opposite view. The Closed Meeting Transcript should be placed in the public domain in its unredacted form.

The only justification for redaction would be under Exemption 3 of the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), which allows redaction of information specifically exempted from disclosure by statute. The implicated statute is the Atomic Energy Act, which as interpreted by the court in *Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Bd.*, 969 F.2d 1248, prohibits the public release of draft Recommendations prior to their transmittal to the Secretary of Energy or the President. That case upheld the Board's regulation at 10 C.F.R. § 1704.4(c)(2) specifying that Exemption 3 applied to "deliberations regarding recommendations."

The Closed Meeting Transcript does not identify any Board concern which would indicate the need for development of a draft Recommendation. Consequently, Exemption 3 does not apply, and none of the transcript should be withheld from the public.

By law, the public should have access to the unredacted transcript Closed Meeting Transcript. That fact, however, does not preclude posting a redacted subset of the transcript.

I therefore approve.

22 AUL 2016 Date