REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION BY A BOARD MEMBER

Requester:S	Sullivan	llivan June 21, 2016			j.		
Brief description of Requested Action: To enhance transparency, direct the General Manager to update on the Board's internet website the posted transcripts from the Board's closed meetings of June 3, 2015 and July 29, 015 as indicated in the two attachments to this request. Each attachment contains text highlighted in yellow hat indicates text already posted to the internet. Non-highlighted text are to be added to the posted transcripts apon approval of this request within three business days. The non-highlighted text contains deliberations on Recommendation 2015-1.							
applicable exemption purpose of the Suns	The Recommendation is now public. The Secretary has issued an Implementation Plan. There is no longer any applicable exemption under the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 USCS § 552b). In keeping with the broad surpose of the Sunshine Act, the public should have access to the transcript of our deliberations on Recommendation 2015-1.						
Attachments (init) _	_2 (included	l clean version	of any propo	sed document or mo	dified documen	t)	
Summarize any tim available to the pub		derations: 5 US	SCS § 552b r	equires the Board to	promptly make	transcripts	
Requestor signature	}	//s//		June 21, 20	016		
Executive secretary		June 21, 2016					
	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICPATING	COMMENT	DATE	
Joyce L. Connery							
Jessie H. Roberson	ı 🗆						
Sean Sullivan							
Daniel J. Santos							
Bruce Hamilton							
Final Disposition S	ummary						
Executive Secretary signature Click here to enter a date.							

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Board Member Sean Sullivan; Direct the General Manager to Update on the Board's Internet Website the Posted Transcripts from the Board's Closed Meetings of June 3, 2015 and July 29, 2015

Doc Control#2016-300-015

The Board, with Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan, Bruce Hamilton *approving*, Board Member(s) Jessie H. Roberson *disapproving*, Board Member(s) Joyce L. Connery, Daniel J. Santos *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *recusing*, have voted to approve the above document on June 24, 2016.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING*	COMMENT	DATE
Joyce L. Connery			\boxtimes			06/24/16
Jessie H. Roberson		\boxtimes				06/23/16
Sean Sullivan	\boxtimes				\boxtimes	06/23/16
Daniel J. Santos			\boxtimes		\boxtimes	06/22/16
Bruce Hamilton	\boxtimes					06/21/16

^{*}Reason for Not Participating:

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Assistant Executive Secretary to the Board

Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members

OGC

OGM Records Officer

OTD

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Joyce L. Connery				
SUBJECT:	Request for Board Action by Board Member, Sean Sullivan; Direct the General Manager to update on the Board's internet website the posted transcripts from the Board's closed meetings of June 3, 2015 and July 2, 2015 as indicated in the two attachments to this request				
Doc Control	# 2016-300-015	es			
		Abstain			
Approved	Disapproved	Abstain_V			
Recusal – No	ot Participating				
COMMENT	'S: Below Attached	None			
		E.			

Joyce L. Connery

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Jessie H	Roberson
LICONI.	Tessie II.	MODELPOIL

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Board Member, Sean Sullivan; Direct the

General Manager to update on the Board's internet website the posted transcripts from the Board's closed meetings of June 3, 2015 and July 29,

2015 as indicated in the two attachments to this request

Doc Control# 2016-300-015

Approved	Dis	Abstain		
Recusal – Not Par	ticipating			
COMMENTS:	Below	Attached	None	

Jessie H. Roberson

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Sean Sullivan
SUBJECT:	Request for Board Action by Board Member, Sean Sullivan; Direct the General Manager to update on the Board's internet website the posted transcripts from the Board's closed meetings of June 3, 2015 and July 29, 2015 as indicated in the two attachments to this request
Dog Control	# 2016-300-015

Approved X	Disapproved	Abstain	
Recusal – Not Par	ticipating		
COMMENTS:	Below X Attached	None	*

The request seeks release to the public of the portions of closed meeting transcripts dealing with the Recommendation that became Recommendation 2015-1, *Emergency Preparedness and Response at Pantex*. I made an identical request back in March, which was disapproved by the Board in early April by a vote of two in favor, three opposed. Comments from two Board Members who voted against my earlier request indicated that they were swayed in part by the fact that we had not yet received the Secretary's Implementation Plan. We have now received the Implementation Plan, so I am asking again.

The Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. § 552b) requires the Board to promptly make available to the public all portions of transcripts not covered by an exemption. The Board did that in 2015 shortly after receiving the transcripts from the two meetings that are the subject of this request. At the time non-exempt portions were made available, the portions dealing with Recommendation 2015-1 were withheld because Sunshine Act exemption 3 applied. Exemption 3 ceased to apply when the final Recommendation was transmitted to the Secretary in November 2015.

The Sunshine Act's mandate to "make promptly available to the public" non-exempt transcripts is ambiguous as to whether it directs us to do so only once, promptly after the meeting, or continuously thereafter, promptly after conditions permit the release of previously exempt transcripts. In my view, the purpose of the Sunshine Act clearly favors the latter interpretation. Further, the Board's Code of Federal Regulations implementing the Sunshine Act provides, "If at some later time the Board determines that there is no further justification for withholding a portion of a transcript, electronic recording, or minutes or other item of information from the

public which has previously been withheld, such portion or information shall be made publicly available." 10 C.F.R. 1704.9. I believe that there is no further justification, and the transcripts should be released.

"No further justification" as used in our regulations implementing the Sunshine Act should, in my view, be limited to justifications permitted by the Sunshine Act itself. Thus, the transcripts should be released if there is no currently applicable exemption.

Exemption 3 clearly no longer applies. Our use of exemption 3 stems from our enabling statute and the interpretation that the public may be informed of information dealing with Recommendations only after the Secretary of Energy has received the final Recommendation. The only other exemption that could possibly apply is exemption 9(B) which provides that information may be withheld when premature disclosure would:

"in the case of any agency, be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action, except that subparagraph (B) shall not apply in any instance where the agency has already disclosed to the public the content or nature of its proposed action, or where the agency is required by law to make such disclosure on its own initiative prior to taking final agency action on such proposal."

We have already disclosed the nature and purpose of our action when we published the Recommendation. By its own terms, exemption 9(B) may no longer be used.

A legal treatise on the Sunshine Act suggests that DNFSB might be able to use exemption 9(B) so as not to frustrate the actions of another agency. See Richard K. Berg, Stephen H. Klitzman, & Gary J. Edles, An Interpretive Guide to the Government in the Sunshine Act 86-7 (2nd ed. 2005). However, since the word 'agency' is used multiple times and always in the singular, a basic rule of statutory construction requires each use of 'agency' to refer to the same agency. Thus, even if 9(B) may be used by our agency in relation to DOE's actions, it cannot be used to mix DOE's actions (the Implementation Plan) with ours (the Recommendation), or to superimpose our intentions for their actions when assessing the potential for frustration.

Nor can we use closure of the Recommendation – an extra-statutory act we do by agreement with DOE – as the basis of an action that may be frustrated. We have informed the public of the nature and purpose of our action, as we were required to do under our enabling statute. The last clause of exemption 9(B) thus bars us from relying on the future Recommendation closure.

For all these reasons, I conclude that there is no longer any applicable Sunshine Act exemption. I believe we are required by law to release these transcripts. I further believe that in our regulations we promised the public that we would do so.

Sean Sullivan

Shelby	/ Qua	lls
--------	-------	-----

From:	Daniel J. Santos				
Sent:	Wednesday, June 22, 2016 5:26 PM Lotus Smith; Shelby Qualis				
To: Subject:					
Subject.	Sean Sullivan; Direct the General Manager to update on the Board's internet website the posted transcripts from the Board's closed meetings of June 3, 2015 and July 29, 2015				
Doc#2016-300	ne following comment: This request for Board action is identical to the request for Board action -001 dated March 31, 2006, which was already acted on by the Board. The subject request for Board t provide information or context to justify a different action on my part.				
To: Steven Sto	June 21, 2016 12:13 PM				
Hamilton <	>; Daniel J. Santos <				
Joyce Connery Cc: Shelby Qua Herrera <					
Subject: Notati General Manag	ional Vote: Doc#2016-300-015 Request for Board Action by Board Member, Sean Sullivan; Direct the ger to update on the Board's internet website the posted transcripts from the Board's closed meetings of and July 29, 2015				
This email is a electronic vot	an electronic record of Notational Vote. Voting ballot will follow shortly. Also, accepting es.				
	UCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD AL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET				
FROM: SUBJECT:	Members of the Board Request for Board Action by Board Member, Sean Sullivan; Direct the General Manager to update on the Board's internet website the posted transcripts from the Board's closed meetings of June 3, 2015 and July 29, 2015 as indicated in the two attachments to this request				
DOC#2016-3	00-015				
Office Directo	ors are copied and should provide input, if applicable.				
Approved					
Disapproved					
Abstain					
Recusal - Not	t Participating				
COMMENTS	S:				
Below					
Attached					
None					

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Bruce Hamilton
SUBJECT:	Request for Board Action by Board Member, Sean Sullivan; Direct the General Manager to update on the Board's internet website the posted transcripts from the Board's closed meetings of June 3, 2015 and July 29, 2015 as indicated in the two attachments to this request

Doc Control# 2016-300-015

Approved	Abstain		
Recusal - Not Par	ticipating		
COMMENTS:	Below	Attached	None

Bruce Hamilton

Druce Hamilton
21 JUN 22016