The Honorable Ernest J. Moniz Secretary of Energy U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20585-1000

Dear Secretary Moniz:

This letter revises the previously established annual reporting requirement regarding the Department of Energy's (DOE) Nuclear Criticality Safety Program. This reporting requirement included an annual report on criticality safety and a formal briefing to the Board to discuss the report. While this report has served as a valuable resource for information on complex-wide criticality safety issues, trends, and corrective actions, improvements can be made.

Consequently, our staff has collaborated with your staff to develop revised reporting criteria with the goal of improving DOE's criticality safety programs while minimizing the administrative burden associated with producing the report. Therefore, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2286b(d), the annual reporting requirement established for closure of Recommendation 97-2, *Continuation of Criticality Safety at Defense Nuclear Facilities in the Department of Energy (DOE) Complex*, is modified to require an annual metrics table on criteria listed in the enclosure to this letter in lieu of a full report. We still desire an annual briefing and will communicate the requested briefing topics to your staff no later than 45 days prior to the briefing. Due to this modification, we extend the due date for the Fiscal Year 2015 annual metrics table and briefing to April 1, 2016.

Sincerely,

Joyce L. Connery Chairman

Enclosure

c: Mr. Joe Olencz

Enclosure

The Department of Energy (DOE) annual metrics table on criticality safety should include, at a minimum, the following items for each DOE site and major project:

- The number of criticality safety infractions in each severity level, per site specific criteria;
- The number of identified non-compliances with DOE Order 420.1, *Facility Safety*, and the American National Standards Institute/American Nuclear Society-8 series of criticality safety standards. Include the number of contractor-identified non-compliances and the number identified by external parties;
- The number of contractor and federal criticality safety assessments completed including the total number of findings and opportunities for improvement from these assessments;
- Current contractor and federal criticality safety staffing levels, including the average years of experience in criticality safety, number of qualified staff, number in training, number of staff lost, number of staff hired in the previous year;
- DOE's overall evaluation of the contractors' performance in the functional area of criticality safety, consistent with DOE Order 226.1B, *Implementation of Department of Energy Oversight Policy*.

The Board's staff will work with DOE's staff to provide an example template of the requested metrics table.

RECORDS CONCURRENCE FORM

NUMBER	FY2016- 036	SUBJECT	Annual Criticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification			
GROUP LEADER CONCURRENCE (Signature and Date)						
DNFSB STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN REFERENCE:				2		

TECHNICAL CONCURRENCE				
TECHNICAL EDITOR	TECHNICAL DIRECTOR			
NAME:	NAME: S. A. STOKES			
INITIALS: PA	INITIALS: RSK			
DATE:	DATE: 11/25/15			

GENERAL MANAGER CONCURRENCE
GENERAL MANAGER
NAME: M. T. WELCH
INITIALS: N/A
DATE:

LEGAL CONCURRENCE				
ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL				
NAME: JAMES P. BIGGINS				
INITIALS: 18 w/ edits				
DATE: 11/30/15				

DEPUTY TECHNICAL DIRECTOR FOR SITE REPS					
NAME: R. E. TONTODONATO					
INITIALS:	RET				
DATE:	12/2/2015				

BOARD BRIEFING BRIEF TO BOARD MEMBERS
NAME: S. A. STOKES
INITIALS:
DATE:

C	LASSIFICATION CHE	CK			
DNFSB SECURITY MICHAEL LEGGETT					
DOCUMENT #1	DOCUMENT #2	DOCUMENT #3			
DNFSB CONTROL NUMBER	DNFSB CONTROL NUMBER	DNFSB CONTROL NUMBER			
DATE CLEARED BY DOE	DATE CLEARED BY DOE	DATE CLEARED BY DOE			
INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS			
DATE	DATE	DATE			

BOARD MEMBERS (5), W/ ENCLOSURE	
OGC, W/ ENCLOSURE	
OGM, W/ ENCLOSURE	
OTD, W/ ENCLOSURE	
SITE REPS, W/ ENCLOSURE (EMAIL)	
ARCHIVES/CHRON.,(2), W/ ENCLOSURE	
LOG KEEPER, W/ ENCLOSURE	

TRANSFER FILE NAME	H:\Home\Transferotd\Letters\NPA\FY16\BL.FY16.NPA.Annual.Criticality.Safety.Reporting.Requirement.Modification.
SECRETARY/AUTHOR	Proctor
RELEASED FOR DISTRIB	UTION ON

	TECHNICAL STAF	F CONCUR	RENCE/RECO	NOTE PAGE			
SUBJECT:	Annual Criticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification						
AUTHOR:	REPORT:						
AFFECTED SITES:							
	Contributor(s):	Da	ate Received:	Concurre	nce Signatur	re/Date:	
			3 2015 13 2015 13 2015 13 2015	VIA E-M VIA E-M VIA C-M	all II	10 2015 14 2015 14 2015 1 22 115	
Project Leade	r(s)/Cognizant Engineer(s):	Date	Received:	Conc	urrence Sian	ature/Date:	
G	roup Leader(s):	2012 (2011)	Received:	Conc	urrence Sign	ature/Date:	
Outside Expert(s):		Date	Received:	Concurrence Signature/Date:		ature/Date:	
Document Number:	ISSUE PAPER.DOCX			Report File:	Vines, D.		
		TAFF RECO					
	1st Edit Date		Return Date	2nd	Edit Date	Return Date	
To Technical Editor			90 150	15 0	100115		
Submitted for class			331501	210 11	23/15	(Date/Number)	
DOE classification		7	, ,		(Date)		
Author agrees that	E	1501	1	1/23/15	(Initials/Date)		
	the Board members:					(Date)	
ecord Note:			T				
	PLAN REFERENCE NUMBER			Denot		di unioni e	
UTHOR'S LAST NA	1,000	N. CHARLES VILLEY, CARD	S LAST NAME			ATE 11/13/2015	
	H:\Home\Transferotd\Letters\NPA\FY16\BL.FY16.NPA.Annual.Criticality.Safety.Reporting.Requirement.Modificat on.Docx						

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Annual Criticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification

Doc Control#2016-036

The Board, with Board Member(s) Joyce L. Connery, Jessie H. Roberson, Daniel J. Santos, Bruce Hamilton *approving*, Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *recusing*, have voted to approve the above document on February 10, 2016.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING*	COMMENT	DATE
Joyce L. Connery	\boxtimes					02/08/16
Jessie H. Roberson	\boxtimes					02/10/16
Sean Sullivan		\boxtimes			\boxtimes	02/09/16
Daniel J. Santos	\boxtimes					02/08/16
Bruce Hamilton	\boxtimes				\boxtimes	02/08/16

^{*}Reason for Not Participating:

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Assistant Executive Secretary to the Board

Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members

OGC

OGM Records Officer

OTD

Doc Control#2016	-036	
Approved Recusal – Not Par	Disapproved	Abstain
COMMENTS:	Below Attached	None

SUBJECT: Annual Criticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification

Jessie H. Roberson

FROM:

Jessie H. Roberson

Date

SUBJECT:	Annual Cri	ticality Safety	Reporting I	Requirement	Modification
Doc Control	#2016-036				
Approved	_	Disapprove	d	į	Abstain
Recusal – No	t Participatii	ıg	4		
COMMENT	S: Belo	w Atta	ched with	None	

Joyce L. Connery

FROM:

Joyce L. Connery

Date

FROM: Sean Sullivan SUBJECT: Annual Criticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification						
Doc Control# Approved		Disapprove	1 <u>X</u>	Abstain		
Recusal - Not Participating						
COMMENTS	S: Below	X Atta	hed	None		
I do not support a continuing requirement for DOE to report to us on its criticality safety program.						
The requirement for an annual report grew out of a formal Board Recommendation issued nearly two decades ago. On August 7, 2003, the Board closed Recommendation 97-2, Continuation of Criticality Safety at Defense Nuclear Facilities in the Department of Energy and established an annual reporting requirement. In doing so, the Board wrote:						
The Board is satisfied with DOE's progress on the Implementation Plan for Recommendation 97-2, and views closure of the recommendation to be appropriate. The Board is closing Recommendation 97-2 because the specific actions outlined in DOE's Implementation Plan have been completed. Through these actions DOE has significantly enhanced its Nuclear Criticality Safety Program. However, the Board remains concerned with the endurance of these enhancements.						
Over a dozen years later, we are apparently still concerned. The reporting requirement was revised in 2008 and again in 2013. In a letter announcing the 2013 revision, the Board said:						
(T)he Board's staff has collaborated with DOE staff to develop revised reporting criteria with the goal of maximizing the Annual Report's capability to improve DOE's criticality safety programs, while minimizing the administrative burden associated with producing the report.						
Now we seek to revise it again, stating:						
(O)ur staff has collaborated with your staff to develop revised reporting criteria with the goal of improving DOE's criticality safety programs while minimizing the administrative burden associated with producing the report.						
I am skeptical that this latest revision will achieve the stated goal						
			Sean	Sullivan 7/9/16		

ARCHIVE: Doc#2016-036, Annual Criticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification

Shelby Qualls	
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Daniel J. Santos Monday, February 08, 2016 3:09 PM Shelby Qualls; Lotus Smith RE: Notational Vote: Doc#2016-036, Annual Criticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification - BLUE FOLDER
Approved without comment	S.
Cc: Lotus Smith; Shelby Qua Subject: Notational Vote: D This email is an electronic electronic votes. Note this Secretary of Energy). DEFENSE NUCLEAR F	J. Santos; Jessie Roberson; Joyce Connery; Sean Sullivan alls; James Biggins; Katherine Herrera occ#2016-036, Annual Criticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification - BLUE FOLDER record of Notational Vote. Voting ballot will follow shortly. Also, accepting adocument incorporates an approved amendment (2016-036A, changing addressee to FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
NOTATIONAL VOTE I	RESPONSE SHEET
1701 TOTA TOTA	of the Board iticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification
Approved Disapproved Abstain Recusal – Not Participati	ing
COMMENTS: Below Attached None	
Shelby Qualls Assistant Executive Secretary Office of the Chairman	y

FROM:	Bruce Hamilton Annual Criticality Safety Reporting Requirement Modification					
SUBJECT:						
Doc Control	#2016-036					
Approved	X_ Disapproved	Abstain				
Recusal – No	ot Participating					
COMMENT	S: Below X Attached	None				

I do not favor the reporting requirement contained in this letter. It perpetuates an existing administrative burden on the Department of Energy in exchange for information regarding a Recommendation which has already been closed. The letter does, however, reduce the scope of the reporting requirement, and it is therefore an improvement on the status quo. For this reason alone, I approve of the letter.

Bruce Hamilton

8 FEB 2016

Date