

Debra Richardson, Deputy General Manager
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
625 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20004-2901

RECEIVED
2012 OCT 31 PM 3:17
DNF SAFETY BOARD

Dear Debra Richardson:

We endorse the following comments which have been researched over many years by Ralph Hutchison, who is Coordinator for Oak Ridge Environmental Peace Alliance. We trust his knowledge of the whole process of how National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) has been developing and pushing for the new Uranium Processing Facility (UPF) at Y-12 National Security Complex in Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

"We want to encourage the DNFSB to continue aggressive oversight; we want to provide them with public support as they push NNSA to do the right thing safety-wise. The work of NNSA calls into question the rush-to-build and undermines the case before Congress that NNSA is ready (and should be given \$340 million) to begin construction.

- Thank you for your work overseeing the plans and design of the UPF. The public depends on you to be the safety experts and to provide badly needed accountability. We have no confidence in NNSA's capacity to put safety first—the rush to build the UPF seems to be pushing everything else, including safety, to the margins.
- Thank you for the chance to address these issues; we think safety is a critical issue, and NNSA has provided little information and no opportunity for a public conversation about their plans.
- Your concerns about the relaxation of criticality safety standards are very important. We wonder if the decision to relax standards doesn't raise a larger question about NNSA's management competence. We believe any nuclear facility built in Oak Ridge must embrace a "maximum safety" standard rather than cut corners. With the skyrocketing cost estimates for the UPF, it seems they have money for everything but safety—and in the end, safety is the one thing we should be doubling down on.
- The NNSA decision to skip the Preliminary Safety Design Report is profoundly important, and we are grateful that you have called them to account for it. We wonder, too, how much extra money is being spent on retroactively trying to prepare and implement the Preliminary Safety Design Report.
- The General Accounting Office has done a good job documenting the timeline problems with pushing design and construction so fast that unproven technologies are being incorporated into the design in violation of industry best practices. Obviously this raises safety concerns as well. We hope DNFSB will use its powers of persuasion to call for thing to slow down (rather than accelerate) until common sense is also incorporated into the planning process for the UPF.

- We know DNFSB decided to delay a portion of your hearing here about NNSA operations at Y12. We hope you will reschedule soon. A broader discussion of Y12 preparedness is not only needed—all we are hearing to date is press releases and self-serving statements in Congress, unspecific assurances that everything is being taken care of, which sounds too much like what we heard before. We believe the questions about the UPF—technology, readiness, safety, cost—coupled with general questions about operations and security, call into serious question the NNSA's capacity to manage complexity.
- We appreciate DNFSB's efforts to compel NNSA to address seismic issues related to the design and construction of the UPF. NNSA has declined to provide a clear and coherent explanation of these issues to the public—they barely mentioned them in the Environmental Impact Statement. The public has a right to know what steps are being taken to address the concerns you have raised about seismic integrity and to understand fully what the current UPF plan does and does not do to assure seismic integrity of the UPF.
- Security, when it comes to special nuclear materials, IS a safety issue. When the HEUMF was being built, a number of organizations and agencies, including the DOE Inspector General were critical of the decision to place the facility above grade. NNSA said it would save money, but the Inspector General eventually pointed out even that was questionable. We wonder if DNFSB believes NNSA is making the same mistake with the UPF—compromising security and safety by building it above ground."

Thank you for considering all these points in your decisions.

Kim Beggie

P.S. See enclosed list of individuals and organizations who endorsed this open letter by "signing" it as a petition and action on Michigan Peace Network's website:

<http://michiganpeacenetWORK.org/petitions/Endorse-OREPAs-comments-on-UPF-to-Safety-Board>

1) Kim Bergier MI, 48071 Oct 03, 2012 @ 4:18 PM

I listened to the whole hearing on Oct. 2nd, via live stream, and was feeling discouraged until I hear Ralph Hutchison give a typically brilliant summation of years of his research.

As Coordinator of Michigan Stop the Nuclear Bombs Campaign I endorse this letter.

I will be sure DNFSB will be given any endorsements sent via this on line petition.

2) Willard Jenkins MI, 48101 Oct 03, 2012 @ 7:04 PM

3) Patricia L. Lent MI, 48067 Oct 03, 2012 @ 7:05 PM

4) Rosalie Riegle MI, 48656 Oct 03, 2012 @ 7:16 PM

Please halt construction of his facility and research safety issues

5) Carolyn Doherty MI, 48067 Oct 03, 2012 @ 7:35 PM

6) Marguerite Rouleau MI, 48307 Oct 03, 2012 @ 8:42 PM

We say our children are our most important asset -- we need to rid ourselves of this means of destroying their future --

7) Mary Ann Ford MI, 48237 Oct 03, 2012 @ 9:01 PM

8) Michael McCarthy MI, 48060 Oct 04, 2012 @ 10:17 AM

9) Gerald T. Chojnacki FL, 33498 Oct 04, 2012 @ 11:55 AM

10) Elaine Engelhart MI, 48323 Oct 04, 2012 @ 7:10 PM

11) William Hickey MI, 48223 Oct 04, 2012 @ 9:30 PM

12) Anonymous MI, 48152 Oct 05, 2012 @ 6:04 PM

Nuclear power is not safe for anyone handling the materials let alone killing others.

13) Joe Gump MI, 49026 Oct 06, 2012 @ 5:02 PM

- | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| 14) Anonymous | MI, 49111 | Oct 07, 2012 @ 11:42 PM |
| 15) Vic and Gail Macks | MI, 48080 | Oct 10, 2012 @ 5:04 PM |
| 16) Motoko Huthwaite | MI, 48341 | Oct 11, 2012 @ 6:43 PM |
| 17) Carol Christensen | MI, 48316 | Oct 12, 2012 @ 9:31 AM |

The NNSA must take responsibility for protecting America from nuclear poisoning of our land.

- | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| 18) Gayle Smith | MI, 48135 | Oct 22, 2012 @ 12:04 PM |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|

<http://michiganpeacenetWORK.org/>

- | | | |
|--|--|---------------|
| 19) Michigan Stop The Nuclear Bombs Campaign | organizations/Michigan-Stop-the-Nuclear-Bombs-Campaign | Sept 25, 2012 |
|--|--|---------------|

- | | | |
|---|---|---------------|
| 20) Straits Area Concerned Citizens for Peace & Justice | http://www.straitspeace.org | Sept 30, 2012 |
|---|---|---------------|

- | | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------|
| 21) Citizens for Peace | http://citizensforpeace11.blogspot.com | Oct 1, 2012 |
|------------------------|---|-------------|