

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member, Sean Sullivan to Yellow Folder Doc#2015-008 2015 Annual Work Plan

Doc Control#2015-0008C

~~The Board, with Board Member(s) *approving*, Board Member(s) none *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *recusing*, have voted to the above document on.~~

This document failed due to lack of a quorum vote.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	RECUSAL	<u>NO VOTE*</u>	COMMENT	DATE
Peter S. Winokur	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11/04/14
Jessie H. Roberson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11/06/14
Sean Sullivan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	00/00/00

*Reason for "No Vote: No response within allotted time.

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.



Executive Secretary to the Board

Attachments:

1. Voting Summary
2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members

OGC

OGM Records Officer

OTD

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Peter S. Winokur, Ph.D.

SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member, Sean Sullivan to Yellow Folder Doc#2015-008 2015 Annual Work Plan

Doc Control#2015-008C

Approved _____ Disapproved X Abstain _____

Recusal – Not Participating _____

COMMENTS: Below X Attached _____ None _____

I do not agree with the basic premise of this amendment that Board recommendations are not effective. The suggestion that Recommendation 2004-1, *Oversight of Complex, High-Hazard Nuclear Operations*, was not effective is completely wrong. Recommendation 2004-1 was a benchmark recommendation that reinvigorated Integrated Safety Management. It was held open for a long period of time to address one aspect of the recommendation that dealt with nuclear safety R&D. In the last two years, the Board has done a much better job of closing recommendations that lingered because a small part of the Implementation Plan was not complete.

By very rough OTD estimates, the study will take a significant amount of Board resources. I do not believe this expenditure of resources is justified and will detract for the Board accomplishing its mission to provide adequate protection of public and worker safety.



Peter S. Winokur, Ph.D.

11-4-14
Date

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Jessie H. Roberson

SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member, Sean Sullivan to Yellow Folder Doc#2015-008 2015 Annual Work Plan

Doc Control#2015-008C

Approved Disapproved _____ Abstain _____

Recusal - Not Participating _____

COMMENTS: Below Attached _____ None _____

The scope of this study needs to be focused to limit time and resource commitments. I am supporting this amendment at this time but no reliable estimate of what else won't get done exists, therefore no informed decision can be made. I will await the development of scoping and the result of impact from all amendments but I am supporting the amendment at this time so scoping can proceed.

Jessie H. Roberson
Jessie H. Roberson

Nov 6, 2014
Date