Bruce Hamilton, Chairman Jessie H. Roberson Joyce L. Connery

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Washington, DC 20004-2901



December X, 2019

The Honorable Dan Brouillette Secretary of Energy US Department of Energy 1000 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20585-1000

Dear Secretary Brouillette:

On October 28, 2019, we received the briefing offered by the Department of Energy regarding its rejection of Recommendation 2019-2, *Safety of the Savannah River Site Tritium Facilities*. At the briefing, DOE representatives reiterated the information from DOE's letter dated September 10, 2019, but did not dispute our technical analysis. There was no new substantive information provided in the briefing. Consequently, our concerns have not diminished, and we are reaffirming Recommendation 2019-2.

We are concerned that the Tritium Facilities have several analyzed credible accidents that could result in very high dose consequences to a significant number of workers. DOE validated at the public meeting that calculated worker dose consequences for some postulated accident scenarios exceed 6000 rem total effective dose. These calculated dose consequences significantly exceed the thresholds in DOE's current directives that would drive the need for additional credited safety controls (i.e., controls with increased assurance of performing a safety function) beyond safety management programs. Recommendation 2019-2 identified the need to execute both near-term and long-term actions to reduce the risks posed by these accident scenarios and the need for DOE to evaluate its emergency response capabilities.

In our opinion, DOE's basis for rejecting Recommendation 2019-2 is a difference in judgment regarding what constitutes adequate protection; DOE's judgment relies on the existence of uncredited controls and safety management programs. We conclude that DOE's staff is interpreting DOE standards in a way that allows the Tritium Facilities to have accident scenarios that result in very high dose consequences to its workers without additional credited safety controls. Such an interpretation is inconsistent with the safety approach used for high hazard facilities across the DOE complex that relies on credited safety controls in conjunction with robust safety management programs to protect against design basis accidents for workers and the offsite public.

The circumstances surrounding DOE's decision to reject Recommendation 2019-2 may relate to the fact that the potential harm is largely to onsite workers. Under the Atomic Energy

Act (AEA), the Board's jurisdiction includes workers at DOE facilities. Contrary to the AEA, DOE Order 140.1, *Interface with the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board*, attempts to exempt onsite individuals and workers from our oversight. DOE confirmed this at the August 28, 2018, public hearing regarding Order 140.1. At the hearing, the Associate Under Secretary for Environment, Health, Safety and Security, stated that DOE may reject a formal recommendation regarding the Tritium Facilities concerning worker health and safety.

In rejecting Recommendation 2019-2, DOE presented several actions and plans in its September 10, 2019, letter and during the briefing on October 28, 2019, as addressing our concerns. We acknowledge that these ongoing and planned actions are aimed at addressing issues identified in Recommendation 2019-2. However, we are concerned these actions will not, in the near-term or long-term, fully address the high consequences to workers. Further, we do not agree that ongoing actions and plans obviate the need for Recommendation 2019-2.

In preparing Recommendation 2019-2, we considered these actions and plans, including the new safety basis, defense-in-depth controls, safety management programs, the contractor's proposed strategy, and the potential for a new facility (i.e., the Tritium Finishing Facility) to replace the H-Area Old Manufacturing Facility. After considering the actions and plans DOE has presented, we have concluded that: (1) even if all the plans are completed, these plans have not been shown to reduce the risk of credible accident scenarios to acceptably low values; (2) several of the plans are contractor products and DOE has not committed to executing the plans and actions; and (3) many of the plans are not expected to be completed for years (or over a decade, in the case of the new Tritium Finishing Facility), with no new compensatory measures identified in the interim.

Board Recommendations are the tool by which we communicate our most pressing concerns regarding public health and safety. DOE has rejected Recommendation 2019-2 despite presenting several new and ongoing actions to address our concerns. Without accepting Recommendation 2019-2 and developing an implementation plan, DOE's improvement plans are not transparent to the public; avoid formal scrutiny and accountability; and are inconsistent with its own safety standards.

In accordance with 42 USC § 2286d(e), following the rejection of a recommendation, the Board "shall either reaffirm its original recommendation or make a revised recommendation and shall notify the Secretary of its action." We hereby reaffirm Recommendation 2019-2.

Yours truly,

Bruce Hamilton Chairman

c: The Honorable Lisa E. Gordon-Hagerty Mr. Joe Olencz

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

 $\textbf{SUBJECT:} \ RFBA \ by \ Board \ Member \ Roberson \ to \ send \ Recommendation \ 2019-2 \ Reaffirmation \ Letter$

Doc Control#: 2020-200-0001

The Board acted on the above document on 12/04/2019. The document was Approved.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING	COMMENT	DATE
Bruce Hamilton		✓			✓	12/04/2019
Jessie H. Roberson	✓					12/04/2019
Joyce L. Connery	✓					11/29/2019

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Micholas Moore

Executive Secretary to the Board

Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Bruce Hamilton

SUBJECT: RFBA by Board Member Roberson to send Recommendation 2019-2 Reaffirmation Letter

Doc Control#: 2020-200-0001

DATE: 12/04/2019

VOTE: Disapproved

COMMENTS:

This correspondence, if approved, will reaffirm the Board's Recommendation 2019-02, *Safety of the Savannah River Site*, which was rejected on behalf of the Secretary of Energy by the Administrator of the NNSA. Following that rejection, the Department of Energy provided a briefing to the Board on October 28, 2019, providing its rationale for the rejection. Nothing in that briefing changed my view that Recommendation 2019-02 is unnecessary. My reasons for disapproving Recommendation 2019-2 are thoroughly documented in my voting record comments for the original recommendation, DNFSB Doc Control#: 2019-200-0020, and they need not be repeated here.

I do concur with the paragraph in this proposed correspondence which addresses DOE Order 140.1. That order contradicts a plain reading of the Atomic Energy Act (as Amended) and longstanding precedent that the Board's jurisdiction includes the adequate protection of workers, colocated workers, and other on-site personnel. My agreement with this paragraph notwithstanding, the Recommendation is still unnecessary, as is its reaffirmation.

I therefore disapprove.

Bruce Hamilton

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Jessie H. Roberson	
SUBJECT:	RFBA by Board Member Roberson to s Reaffirmation Letter	send Recommendation 2019-2
Doc Control#	#2020-200-001	
Approved Recusal – No	Disapproved t Participating	Abstain
COMMENT	S: Below Attached	None

Jessie H. Roberson

Date

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Joyce L. Connery

SUBJECT: RFBA by Board Member Roberson to send Recommendation 2019-2 Reaffirmation Letter

Doc Control#: 2020-200-0001

DATE: 11/29/2019

VOTE: Approved

COMMENTS:

None

Toyce L. Connery