#### REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION BY A BOARD MEMBER

Requester: <u>Daniel J. Santos</u>	November 29, 2017				
Brief description of Requested Action:					
1. For each technical review, task the staff (OGM lead with support from OTD and OGC) to publish on the DNFSB public website the associated technical review agenda(s) within 30 days of "review completion". Prior to publication, the subject agendas must undergo appropriate reviews for public release such as classification review. For this request for Board Action, "review completion" is defined as follows:					
"Issuance by the DNFSB sta or weekly progress report(s) associate			er(s), issue paper(s), te	chnical report(s),	
2. For ease of information access in the "Technical Review Agendas" tab und			he review agendas und	er a new	
3. Implement the actions above within 3	30 business days of app	proval of this a	ction.		
Justification:					
To improve awareness and a better understanding of DNFSB activities to the public and other stakeholders. During FY17 the DNFSB conducted 83 technical reviews, yet only communicated publicly on 12 of those reviews. The DNFSB review agendas are already made available to DOE and its contractors.  Attachments (init)					
Summarize any time sensitive consideration	ons:				
Requestor signature <u>signature on file</u>			November 29, 2017		
Assistant Executive secretary <u>signature on file</u> November 29, 2017					
APRVD DIS.	APRVD ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICPATI	NG COMMENT	Final DATE	
Sean Sullivan					
Bruce Hamilton					
Jessie H. Roberson □ Daniel J. Santos □					
Joyce L. Connery					
Disposition Summary					
Executive Secretary signature Click here to enter a date.					

#### **AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD**

SUBJECT: RFBA by Board Member Santos to Task the Staff to Publish on the DNFSB Public Website the Associated Technical Review Agenda(s)

#### Doc Control#2018-300-015

The Board, with Board Member(s) Jessie H. Roberson, Daniel J. Santos *approving*, Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan, Bruce Hamilton, Joyce L. Connery *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *not participating*, have voted to disapprove the above document on December 5, 2017.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING*	COMMENT	DATE
Sean Sullivan		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	12/04/17
Bruce Hamilton		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	12/04/17
Jessie H. Roberson	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	12/04/17
Daniel J. Santos	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	12/04/17
Joyce L. Connery		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	12/05/17

<sup>\*</sup>Reason for Not Participating:

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Assistant Executive Secretary to the Board

#### Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members

OGC

**OGM Records Officer** 

OTD

### DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Sean Sullivan
SUBJECT:	RFBA by Board Member Santos to Task the Staff to Publish on the DNFSB Public Website the Associated Technical Review Agenda(s)
Doc Control	¥2018-300-015
Approved	Disapproved_X Abstain
Recusal – No	t Participating
COMMENT	S: Below_X Attached None
	rery review agenda will clutter the agency website with information that is no consequence.
21	

### **DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD** NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:

**Bruce Hamilton** 

**SUBJECT:** 

RFBA by Board Member Santos to Task the Staff to Publish on the DNFSB

Public Website the Associated Technical Review Agenda(s)

Doc Control#2018	3-300-015				
Approved	Disapi	proved X		Abstain	
Recusal – Not Par		· <u></u>			
COMMENTS:	Below_X	Attached	None_		
42 U.S.C. § 2286a.(a) Board shall be to pro Energy to inform the nuclear facilities of the safety at such defense Board information to stakeholders might be	ovide independent a Secretary, in the ro he Department of E se nuclear facilities o stakeholders as pa	analysis, advice, and ole of the Secretary Energy, in providing " This mission stat	d recommenda as operator a adequate pro ement neither	ations to the Secre nd regulator of th tection of public h addresses the dis	etary of le defense nealth and ssemination of
The statutory require 2286d.(b) "Public ava correspondence to b the subject of public	ailability and comm be made available to	nent," which require o the public. Beyor	es recommend nd this require	ations and any re	lated
Accordingly, this RFB	BA proposes action	outside that specifi	ed in the Boar	d's enabling statu	te.
I therefore disapprov	ve.	B	Zuer ruce Hamilto	on James	L
			4 DEC	2017 -	

Date

# DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

			TB /	r
H.	w	,	13/	

Jessie H. Roberson

**SUBJECT:** 

RFBA by Board Member Santos to Task the Staff to Publish on the DNFSB

Public Website the Associated Technical Review Agenda(s)

Doc Control#2018-300-015

Approved Abstain  Recusal – Not Participating
COMMENTS: Below Attached None
I support this action as the posting would occur after completion of the associated
review but would serve to keep the public owner of the work of the
Board even when it does not result in formal correspondence to NNSA ON DOE.
Jessie H. Roberson  Dec 4, 2017

# DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Daniel J. Santos			
SUBJECT:	T: RFBA by Board Member Santos to Task the Staff to Publish on the DNFSB Public Website the Associated Technical Review Agenda(s)			
Doc Control#	2018-300-015			
Approved	K Disapprov	ed	Abstain	
Recusal – No	t Participating			
COMMENTS	S: Below_X Att	ached	None	
During FY17 tl	lps improve the public awa he DNFSB conducted 83 te ws. The DNFSB review age	chnical reviews,	yet only communicated p	oublicly on 12
		4		
		Dan	iel J. Santos	

# NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Joyce L. Connery

SUBJECT: RFBA by Board Member Santos to Task the Staff to Publish on the DNFSB

Public Website the Associated Technical Review Agenda(s)

**Doc Control#2018-300-015** 

Approved	Disapproved	Abstain
Recusal – Not Part	icipating	
COMMENTS:	Below Attached	None
el do n	not see this as adding	y substantial
benefit and	if would moreuse	the administrative
burder on I	the technical 8/2/6 a	nd distract from
	essected work	
	Joyce	L. Connery / 12/5/17
	Date	