ARCHIVE: Doc#2017-300-115A, Amendment by Chairman Sullivan to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-300-115, OTD FY 18 Annual Work Plan

On page 9, in Table 1, delete the entry that reads:

LANL – Chief of Defense Nuclear Safety (CDNS) Biennial NNSA Los Alamos Site Office (NA-LA)

Review Assessment. Staff team will review the CDNS assessment report corrective actions at NA-LA.

Justification

The NNSA assessment report should not be the subject of a staff review. The staff should provide the report to the Board. If any Board Member determines that an entry in the report requires review by our staff, the Member may seek staff support.

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Amendment by Chairman Sullivan to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-300-115, OTD FY 18 Annual Work Plan

Doc Control#2017-300-115A

The Board, with Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan, Bruce Hamilton, Jessie H. Roberson *approving*, Board Member(s) Joyce L. Connery *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) Daniel J. Santos *not participating*, have voted to approve the above document on October 6, 2017.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING*	COMMENT	DATE
Sean Sullivan	\boxtimes					10/06/17
Bruce Hamilton	\boxtimes					10/05/17
Jessie H. Roberson	\boxtimes			F		10/06/17
Daniel J. Santos				\boxtimes		10/05/17
Joyce L. Connery		\boxtimes			\boxtimes	10/06/17

^{*}Reason for Not Participating:

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Assistant Executive Secretary to the Board

Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members

OGC

OGM Records Officer

OTD

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Sean Sulliva	an ::			
SUBJECT:	Amendment by Chairman Sullivan to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-3 115, OTD FY 18 Annual Work Plan				
Doc Control	#2017-300-11 :	5A			
Approved	x	Disapproved	-	Abstain	
Recusal – No	ot Participatin	lg			
COMMENT	S: Below	w Attached_	None_	x	
			n		
		\$			
2					
			Comm	Blokmon/	lan
			Sean Sullivan		
			10/06/201	17	

Member voted by e-mail dated October 06, 2017.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Bruce Hami	ilton					
SUBJECT:	Γ: Amendment by Chairman Sullivan to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017- 115, OTD FY 18 Annual Work Plan						2017-300
Doc Control#	2017-300-11	5A					
ApprovedX	x_	Disap	proved		Absta	in	
Recusal – No	t Participatin	ıg	-				
	B3	8					
COMMENTS	S: Below	w	Attached	 ;;	None_X		
					5		,
					≈ .5		
				GN	med Sh	land 1	E-C-
				Bruc	ce Hamilton		
				Date	10/05/2017	4	

Member voted by e-mail dated October 05, 2017.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Jessie H. Roberson			
SUBJECT:	Amendment by Chairman Sullivan to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-30 115, OTD FY 18 Annual Work Plan			
Doc Control	#2017-300-115A			
Approved	Disapproved	Abstain		
Recusal - No	t Participating			
COMMENT	S: Below Attached	None		

Jessie H. Roberson

Date

ARCHIVE: Doc#2017-300-115A, Amendment by Chairman Sullivan to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-300-115, OTD FY 18 Annual Work Plan

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Daniel J. Santos		ă.	
SUBJECT:	Amendment by Cha 115, OTD FY 18 An		YELLOW FOLDER	R Doc#2017-300-
Doc Control#	2017-300-115A			
Approved	Disap	oproved	Abstain_	
Recusal – Not	t Participating_X_	_		
COMMENTS	S: Below	Attached	None	
	21		SALA	
		Da	nniel J. Santos	
			10/5/1	7

ARCHIVE: Doc#2017-300-115A, Amendment by Chairman Sullivan to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-300-115, OTD FY 18 Annual Work Plan

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:

Joyce L. Connery

SUBJECT:	Amendment by Chairman Sullivan to 115, OTD FY 18 Annual Work Plan	YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-300-
Doc Control	#2017-300-115A	
Approved	Disapproved_X	Abstain
Recusal – No	t Participating	8
COMMENT	S. Rolow V Attached	None

While the description in the work plan may be misleading, my understanding of this item is that the review objective would be to evaluate CDNS's findings as well as to evaluate the effectiveness of the corrective actions taken in response. In my view, as the Board oversees the Department's role as regulator, our staff should be evaluating the oversight of the Los Alamos site office, the response of the site office to its findings, and the effectiveness of corrective actions. This is a part of our mission to review and evaluate the implementation of standards in the operations of defense nuclear facilities and to provide independent analysis and advice to the Secretary in his role as operator and *regulator* of defense nuclear facilities, as noted above.

Joyce L. Connery

Date