APPENDIX 4

REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION BY A BOARD MEMBER

Requester: Daniel J. Santos July 20, 2017

Brief description of Requested Action:

- 1. Approve conducting a public business meeting on September 26, 2017 from 9 a.m. to noon on the DNFSB Strategic plan, the FY18 DNFSB Staffing plan, the FY18 Office of the Technical Director work plan, the FY18 Office of the General Counsel work plan, and the FY18 Office of the General Manager work plan.
- 2. Approve tasking the Office of the General Manager to plan, coordinate, and support conducting this public business meeting on September 26, 2017 at the DNFSB headquarters.
- 3. Approve tasking the Office of the General Counsel to submit in orange folder a detailed agenda for this meeting by August 4, 2017.
- 4. Approve tasking the Office of the General Counsel to submit in orange folder the Federal Register Notice for this meeting by August 4, 2017.
- 5. Approve tasking the Office of the General Manager, the Office of the General Counsel, and the Office of the Technical Director, to present to the Board during the meeting a summary of each plan and their respective input.

Purpose: To increase transparency and allow the Board an opportunity to deliberate on these five Board decision documents. This action is consistent with the Board Procedures (e.g., section 1.4 – Duties of the Collective Board and section 2.2- Board Resource Planning).

Attachments (init) _N/A_

Summarize any time sensitive considerations: It is expected that all five documents (Strategic plan, Staffing plan, Office of the Technical Director work plan, Office of the General Counsel work plan, and the Office of the General Manager work plan) will be separately placed in Orange Folders by early September 2017.

equestor signature <u>signature on file</u>				July			
Assistant Executive secr	etary <u>s</u>	ignature on file	2	July	20, 2017		
	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICPATING	COMMENT	DATE	Final
Sean Sullivan							
Bruce Hamilton							
Jessie H. Roberson							
Daniel J. Santos							
Joyce L. Connery							
Disposition Summary							
Executive Secretary signature _			Click here	to enter a date.			

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Board Member Santos to Approve Conducting a Public Business Meeting on September 26, 2017

Doc Control#2017-300-098

The Board, with Board Member(s) Jessie H. Roberson, Daniel J. Santos, Joyce L. Connery *approving*, Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan, Bruce Hamilton *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *not participating*, have voted to approve the above document on June 25, 2017.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING*	COMMENT	DATE
Sean Sullivan		\bowtie			\boxtimes	07/25/17
Bruce Hamilton		\boxtimes			\boxtimes	07/25/17
Jessie H. Roberson	\boxtimes					07/25/17
Daniel J. Santos	\boxtimes				\boxtimes	07/25/17
Joyce L. Connery	\boxtimes					07/25/17

*Reason for Not Participating:

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Cmm

Assistant Executive Secretary to the Board

Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets
- cc: Board Members OGC OGM Records Officer OTD

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Sean Sullivan

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Board Member Santos to Approve Conducting a Public Business Meeting on September 26, 2017

Doc Control#2017-300-098

COMMENTS:

Approved	Disapproved_X		Abstain
Recusal – Not Participating	<u> </u>	:0	
0			

Below X Attached

I am in favor of holding a public business meeting on the agency's plans for the coming year. I am not in favor of going about scheduling one in this manner.

None

We should first decide the objectives of a meeting and then create an agenda to accomplish the objectives. This we can do at a gathering of all five Members where we can talk to one another in a collegial fashion and, hopefully, come to consensus. Deciding to hold a meeting before deciding on the objectives puts the cart before the horse. On past occasions we have done that and the meeting did not go well.

Paragraph 5 of the request seems to direct that certain events occur at the meeting. That sounds to me like agenda items. But paragraph 3 specifies that an agenda be routed separately for Board comment and independent action. I am confused.

I can make neither heads nor tails of the request. There is no discussion of objectives. I therefore disapprove.

Sean Sullivan

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

Bruce Hamilton FROM:

Request for Board Action by Board Member Santos to Approve Conducting SUBJECT: a Public Business Meeting on September 26, 2017

Doc Control#2017-300-098

Approved	DisapprovedX	Abstain	
Recusal – Not Par	ticipating		
COMMENTS:	Below_X_ Attached	None	

The development of these plans are administrative tasks better left to the wisdom and experience of the Agency's senior executive staff leadership, with input by Board Members during the folder process. A public meeting would provide neither an efficient nor an effective forum to shape the annual planning process. It is simply too complex for a three hour long meeting.

Additionally, the logic of this RFBA is convoluted. Item 3 directs the submission of an agenda, but item 1 already outlines an agenda.

I therefore disapprove.

Bruce Hamilton

JUL 25 2017

Date

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Jessie H. Roberson

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Board Member Santos to Approve Conducting a Public Business Meeting on September 26, 2017

Doc Control#2017-300-098

Approved

Disapproved

Abstain____

Recusal – Not Participating

COMMENTS:

Below_____ Attached_

Non

Jessie H. Roberson Dat

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Daniel J. Santos

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Board Member Santos to Approve Conducting a Public Business Meeting on September 26, 2017

Doc Control#2017-300-098

Approved X Disapproved____

Abstain

None

Recusal – Not Participating

COMMENTS: Below_X_ Attached____

This meeting is necessary to increase transparency and allow the Board an opportunity to deliberate on these five Board decision documents. This action is consistent with the Board Procedures (e.g., section 1.4 – Duties of the Collective Board and section 2.2- Board Resource Planning).

antos Daniel

Date

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Joyce L. Connery

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Board Member Santos to Approve Conducting a Public Business Meeting on September 26, 2017

Doc Control #2017-300-098

Approved

Disapproved_____

Abstain None

Recusal – Not Participating

COMMENTS:

Below_____ Attached_

ovee L. Connerv Date